UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,063	06/06/2001	Warren M. Farnworth	4245US (98-0288)	7843
²⁴²⁴⁷ TRASK BRITT	7590 06/01/200	7	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 2550		RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/875,063	FARNWORTH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Maurina Rachuba	3723	
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHICHEVER IS Le - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 rom the mailing date of this communication, specified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute, e Office later than three months after the mailing stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ This action is 3) ☐ Since this ap	to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Fe</u> FINAL . 2b)⊠ This plication is in condition for allowant ordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pr	•	
Disposition of Claims	, ;			
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>32,</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	2,34-66 and 68-75 is/are pending i ove claim(s) 1-31,37-65 and 71-75 is/are allowed. 34-36,66 and 68-70 is/are rejected is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or	is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.	
-	tion is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(Applicant may Replacement	s) filed on <u>05 March 2003</u> is/are: a not request that any objection to the odrawing sheet(s) including the correcting eclaration is objected to by the Examiner is objected to be objected to by the Examiner is objected to be added	a) accepted or b) objected the objected for abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References 2) Notice of Draftsperso	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
	e Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I		

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 23 February 2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of group II in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1-31, 37-65 and 71-75 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Applicant's amendment has overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 09/875,063

Art Unit: 3723

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 32, 34-36, 66, and 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wark et al, 5,809,987, in view of Akram et al, 6,006,739, as set forth in the previous Office action. Further, '987 discloses supporting at least one device on a portion of the chuck such that at least two of the plurality of cutting pedestals partially supports a portion of the substrate, and applying a vacuum through at least two of the pedestals, see for example figure 3 and its description. However, '987 does not expressly disclose laterally indexing the saw using one of a fixed interval comprising a multiple of an interval and a variable interval comprising an unrelated varying distance. '987 does disclose that the substrate may be cut following streets that are either of a single pattern with a one-to-one correspondence to the recesses of the chuck, or that do not have a one-to-one correspondence so that different substrates may be cut using the same chuck. '739, in a similar method, teaches sawing at least one other semiconductor device from the substrate by laterally indexing of the saw using one of a of a fixed interval comprising a multiple of an interval and a variable interval comprising an unrelated varying distance, see for example column 2, lines 30-45. It would have

Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have provided '987 with the indexing step of '739, to allow non-uniform dice to be cut from the same wafer.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 23 February 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is not clear what applicant is arguing. The arguments seem to be a verbatim copy of one of the independent claims, with a statement that neither of the applied references singly teach the claimed invention. Please refer to the rejection above for the support and reasoning to combine Wark and Akram.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurina Rachuba whose telephone number is 571 272
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723